

# THE XIX-TH CENTURY LINGUISTICS OVERVIEW /PART ONE/

---

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Comparative linguistics is a branch of *historical linguistics* that is concerned with comparing languages to establish their historical relatedness.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

The chief goal of the nineteenth-century historical and comparative linguists was to develop and elucidate the genetic relationships that exist among the world's languages.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

They aimed to establish the major language families of the world and to define principles for the classification of languages.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

They based their theories on observations of regular sound correspondences among certain languages.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

They proposed that languages displaying systematic similarities and differences must have descended from a common source language—that is, were genetically related.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Sir William Jones while residing in India added Sanskrit to his languages studies and observed that Sanskrit bore to Greek and Latin “a stronger affinity . . . than could possibly have been produced by accident.”

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Jones suggested that these three languages had “sprung from a common source” and that probably Germanic and Celtic had the same origin.



# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Following up on Jones's research, the German linguist Franz Bopp pointed out relationships among Sanskrit, Latin, Greek, Persian, and Germanic.

## THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

At the same time, a young Danish scholar named **Rasmus Rask** corroborated these results, and brought Lithuanian and Armenian into the relationship as well.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Rask was the first scholar to describe formally the regularity of certain phonological differences of *related languages*.

## THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Rask's work inspired the German linguist Jakob Grimm (of fairy-tale fame), who published a four-volume treatise (1819–1822) that specified the regular sound correspondences among Sanskrit, Greek, Latin, and the Germanic languages.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Not only did the similarities intrigue Grimm, but also the systematic nature of the differences.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Where Latin has a [p], English often has an [f];  
where Latin has a [t], English often has a [θ];  
where Latin has a [k], English often has  
an [h].

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Grimm posited a far earlier language (which we now refer to as Indo- European) from which all these languages evolved.

# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

Grimm's major discovery was that certain rules of sound change that applied to the Germanic family of languages, including the ancestors of English, did not apply to Sanskrit, Greek, and Latin.



# THE FIRST AKNOWLEDGED SCIENTIFIC LINGUISTIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

He explained the sound correspondences by means of rules of phonological change (which historical linguists called sound shift, or sound change).

## The First Consonant Shift (Grimm's Law, PG Consonant Shift)

I act	<p>PIE <b>p</b> &gt; PG <b>f</b></p> <p>PIE <b>t</b> &gt; PG <b>θ</b></p> <p>PIE <b>k</b> &gt; PG <b>x</b></p> <p>PIE <b>k<sup>w</sup></b> &gt; PG <b>x<sup>w</sup></b></p>	<p>Lat. <b>p</b>es, <b>p</b>edis – Goth. <b>f</b>otus, Rus. пять – OE <b>f</b>if</p> <p>Lat. <b>t</b>res – Goth. <b>þ</b>reiþ, Rus. <b>т</b>и – OE <b>þ</b>u</p> <p>Lat. <b>c</b>ord – Goth. <b>h</b>airto, Lat. no<b>x</b>, no<b>c</b>tis – Goth. na<b>h</b>ts</p> <p>Lat. a<b>q</b>ua – Goth. a<b>h</b>wa</p>
II act	<p>PIE <b>b</b> &gt; PG <b>p</b></p> <p>PIE <b>d</b> &gt; PG <b>t</b></p> <p>PIE <b>g</b> &gt; PG <b>k</b></p> <p>PIE <b>g<sup>w</sup></b> &gt; PG <b>k<sup>w</sup></b></p>	<p>Rus. <b>б</b>олото – OE <b>p</b>ōl, Rus. сла<b>б</b>ый – OE slæ<b>p</b>an</p> <p>Lat. <b>d</b>ecem – Goth. <b>t</b>aihun, Lat. e<b>d</b>ere – Goth. e<b>t</b>an</p> <p>Lat. e<b>g</b>o – Goth. i<b>k</b>, Lat. a<b>g</b>er – OE æ<b>c</b>er</p> <p>PIE <b>*g</b>vivo – Goth. <b>q</b>uis, OE <b>c</b>wic</p>
III act	<p>PIE <b>b<sup>h</sup></b> &gt; PG <b>b</b></p> <p>PIE <b>d<sup>h</sup></b> &gt; PG <b>d</b></p> <p>PIE <b>g<sup>h</sup></b> &gt; PG <b>g</b></p> <p>PIE <b>g<sup>wh</sup></b> &gt; PG <b>g<sup>w</sup></b></p>	<p>Skr. <b>b</b>hrāta – Goth. <b>b</b>rōþar</p> <p>Skr. má<b>d</b>hu – OE. me<b>d</b>u</p> <p>PIE <b>*g</b>hostis – Goth. <b>g</b>asts</p> <p>PIE <b>*s</b>enghw – Goth. si<b>g</b>gwan</p>

# COGNATES

['KɒGNɛɪTS]

---

Cognates are words in related languages that developed from the same ancestral root, such as English 'horn' and Latin 'cornū'.

# COGNATES

['KɔGNɛɪTS]

---

Cognates often, but not always, have the same meaning in the different languages. From cognates we can observe sound correspondences and from them deduce sound changes.

# COGNATES

## ['KOGNEITS]

Indo-European	Sanskrit	Latin	English
*p	p	p	f
	pitar-	pater	father
	pad-	ped-	foot
	No cognate	piscis	fish
	pasua	pecu	fee

# COGNATES

['KOGNEɪTS]

---

Sanskrit underwent the fewest consonant changes (has more sounds in common with Indo-European), Latin somewhat more, and Germanic (under Grimm's Law) underwent almost a complete restructuring.

# COGNATES

## ['KOGNEITS]

Indo-European	Sanskrit	Latin	English
*p	p pitar-	p pater	f father
*t	t trayas	t trēs	θ three
*k	ś śun	k canis	h hound
*b	b No cognate	b labium	p lip
*d	d dva-	d duo	t two
*g	j ajras	g ager	k acre
*bh	bh bhrātar-	f frāter	b brother
*dh	dh dhā	f fē-ci	d do
*gh	h vah-	h veh-ō	g wagon

# COGNATES

['KOGNEITS]

---

Grimm noted that there were exceptions to the regular correspondences he observed. e stated: “The sound shift is a general tendency; it is not followed in every case.”



# COGNATES

[ˈKɒɡneɪts]

---

Several decades later, in 1875, Karl Verner explained some of the exceptions to Grimm's Law. He formulated Verner's Law to show why Indo-European *p*, *t*, and *k* failed to correspond to *f*, *θ*, and *x* in certain cases:

# COGNATES

['KɔGNɛɪTS]

---

Verner's Law:

When the preceding vowel was unstressed f, θ, and x underwent a further change to b, d, and g.

# COGNATES

['KOGNEITS]

PrePG	*p <sup>h</sup>		*t <sup>h</sup>		*k <sup>h</sup>		*k <sup>wh</sup>		*s	
Verner	*p <sup>h</sup>	*b <sup>h</sup>	*t <sup>h</sup>	*d <sup>h</sup>	*k <sup>h</sup>	*g <sup>h</sup>	*k <sup>wh</sup>	*g <sup>wh</sup>	*s	*z
Grimm	*ϕ	*β	*θ	*ð	*x	*γ	*x <sup>w</sup>	*γ <sup>w</sup>		

# THE NEO-GRAMMARIAN HYPOTHESIS OF SOUND SHIFTS

---

Encouraged by the regularity of sound change, a group of young nineteenth-century linguists proposed the Neo-Grammarians hypothesis, which says that sound shifts are not merely tendencies (as Grimm claimed), but apply in all words that meet their environment.

# THE NEO-GRAMMARIAN HYPOTHESIS OF SOUND SHIFTS

---

If exceptions were nevertheless observed, it was trusted that further laws would be discovered to explain them, just as Verner's Law explained the exceptions to Grimm's Law.

# Leskin Reduction of acuted syllables Law

Акутированные слоги			Неаккутированные слоги		
Падеж	Полная форма	Краткая форма	Падеж	Полная форма	Краткая форма
мужской род					
Тв. ед.	gerúoju	gerù	Р. ед.	gẽrojo	gẽro
И. мн.	geriéji	gerì	В. ед.	gẽrajj	gẽraḡ
В. мн.	gerúosius	gerùs	Р. мн.	gerũjju	gerũ
И.-В. дв.	gerúoju	gerù	Тв. мн.	geraĩsiais	geraĩs
женский род					
И. ед.	geróji	gerà	Р. ед.	gerõsios	gerõs
Тв. ед.	gerájaḡ	gerà	В. ед.	gẽrajaḡ	gẽraḡ
В. мн.	gerásias	geràs	И. мн.	gẽrosios	gẽros
И.-В. дв.	geriéji	gerì	Р. мн.	gerũjju	gerũ

# Fortunatov – de Saussure Accent Shift Law

	1 парадигма		2 парадигма		3 парадигма		4 парадигма	
	ед. ч.	мн. ч.	ед. ч.	мн. ч.	ед. ч.	мн. ч.	ед. ч.	мн. ч.
Именительный	výras	výrai	rātas	rātai	lāngas	langaĩ	nāmas	namaĩ
Родительный	výro	výru	rāto	rātu	lāngo	langũ	nāmo	namũ
Дательный	výrui	výrams	rātui	rātam	lāngui	langáms	nāmui	namáms
Винительный	výra	výrus	rāta	ratùs	lānga	lāngus	nāma	namùs
Творительный	výru	výrais	ratù	rātais	lāngu	langaĩs	namù	namaĩs
Мест	výre	výruo	ratè	rātuos	langè	langu	namè	namu

"WHEN AGREEMENT IS FOUND IN WORDS IN TWO LANGUAGES, AND SO FREQUENTLY THAT RULES MAY BE DRAWN UP FOR THE SHIFT IN LETTERS FROM ONE TO THE OTHER, THEN THERE IS A FUNDAMENTAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO LANGUAGES.

---

RASMUS RASK  
(1787–1832)



# THE FIVE KEY STAGES OF COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS METHODOLOGY

# COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS IS A SOURCE OF SUCH LINGUISTIC RESEARCH SUBFIELDS AS

Genetic linguistics	Contrastive Linguistics	Areal Linguistics	Linguistic Typology	Linguistic Characterology
Glottochronology	Quantitative comparative linguistics	Linguistic Geography	Phonological, Morphological Syntactic etc.	
Etymology [ , etɪ'mɔlədʒɪ ]	Dialectology [ , daɪəlek'tɔlədʒɪ ]			

# COMPARATIVE METHOD OF LINGUISTIC RESEARCH

---

The Comparative Method as such is not, in fact, historical; it provides evidence of linguistic relationships to which we may give a historical interpretation. ...

# COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS IS A SOURCE OF SUCH LINGUISTIC RESEARCH SUBFIELDS AS

Language Family	Languages union
Based on Genetic Family Ties	Based on Geographic and other influences and interconnections

# COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS IS A SOURCE OF SUCH LINGUISTIC RESEARCH SUBFIELDS AS

---

**Contrastive linguistics** is a practice-oriented linguistic approach that seeks to describe the differences and similarities between a pair of languages (hence it is occasionally called "*differential linguistics*").

## COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS IS A SOURCE OF SUCH LINGUISTIC RESEARCH SUBFIELDS AS

---

**Glottochronology** [,glɒtəʊkrə'nɒlədʒɪ] (from Attic Greek γλῶττα "tongue, language" and χρόνος "time") is the part of **lexicostatistics** dealing with the chronological relationship between languages.

## COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS IS A SOURCE OF A FEW LINGUISTIC RESEARCH SUBFIELDS

---

The idea of **Glottochronology** was developed by Morris Swadesh under two assumptions: that there does, indeed, exist a relatively stable "basic vocabulary" (referred to as "*Swadesh lists*") in all languages of the world; and that any replacements happen in a way analogous to radioactive decay, in constant percentages per time elapsed.

# THE KEY FUNCTIONS OF HUMAN LANGUAGE DISCUSSION

---

One of the most famous and influential opponents of Saussurean standpoint on linguistic system is *Wilhelm von Humboldt /1767-1835/*.